

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/992,800	11/06/2001	Victor Raso	BBRI-2006	7805
7590 02/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Kevin M. Farrell			PATTERSON, CHARLES L JR	
One New Hamp	shire Avenue		-	
Suite 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portsmouth, NH 03801			1652	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/24/200	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/992,800	RASO, VICTOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles L. Patterson, Jr.	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT till, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 January 2004.					
•	o)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 37-106 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 47-106 is/ar  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 37-46 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restricting	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 November  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand he correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been i al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/992,800

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 37-46 in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged.

Claims 47-106 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 12.

The terminal disclaimer has been considered and approved. After reviewing the previous action, the specification and applicant's arguments, it was decided that the following non-final action should be done.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification teaches that antibody 5A11 was produced by using a hapten having s statine between Phe-19 and Phe-20 or between Phe-20 and Phe-21 (Table 8). This antibody is further taught on pages 44-45 and Table 9 to solubilize a  $^{125} A\beta_{1-40}$ , indicating that this antibody has catalytic activity. Antibodies 6E6, 3B5 and 8E4 are taught on page 43 and Figure 15 to have catalytic activity but the hapten used to produce these antibodies is not disclosed by the specification. No other antibodies are shown to have catalytic

Application/Control Number: 09/992,800

Art Unit: 1652

activity against  $A\beta$ . Therefore it is maintained that the specification does not teach one of ordinary skill in the art to make catalytic antibodies using anything other that statine analogs and therefore the instant claim should be so limited.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gravina, et al. (AU) and Solomon, et al. (AX2) in view of Shin, et al. (AS), Friden (AD) and Saito, et al. (AX). Gravina, et al. teach that antibodies can be made against A $\beta$  and Solomon, et al. teach an antibody against A $\beta$  has been made that will "interfere with the aggregation of  $\beta$ -amyloid and trigger reversal to its nontoxic, normal components" (abstract). Shin, et al. and Friden, et al. teach that when an antibody to the transferrin receptor is fused to another antibody, uptake across the blood-brain barrier occurred. Saito, et al. teach that when A $\beta$  was conjugated to an antibody to the rat transferrin receptor, blood-brain barrier transport was increased.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of the two primary references that antibodies can be made -+

Application/Control Number: 09/992,800

Art Unit: 1652

against  $A\beta$  to make these antibodies and to use the teachings of the secondary references that an antibody to the transferrin receptor will cause or increase uptake across the blood-brain barrier to fuse or conjugate the antibodies directed against  $A\beta$  with antibodies against the transferrin receptor to produce and bispecific antibody that will cause the  $A\beta$  antibodies to be taken up across the blood-brain barrier. The motivation would have been to study the effect of these antibodies on  $A\beta$  in the brain. Applicant has admitted in their Remarks that the production of such bispecific antibodies are "well known in the art, and as such the production of the same is a matter of routine experimentation".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Patterson, Jr., PhD, whose telephone number is 571-272-0936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4242.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1652

Charles L. Patterson, Jr.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

Patterson February 23, 2004